



Attorney's Docket No.: 09781-007002

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Valerie Palfy et al.  
Serial No. : 10/642,267  
Filed : August 18, 2003

Art Unit : 3744  
Examiner : Chen-Wen Jiang  
Confirmation No.: 2395  
Notice of Allowance Date: October 20, 2004

Title : DEVICES AND METHODS FOR SENSING CONDENSATION CONDITIONS  
AND FOR PREVENTING AND REMOVING CONDENSATION FROM  
SURFACES

## MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE

In response to the Notice of Allowance mailed October 20, 2004, enclosed are a completed issue fee transmittal form PTOL-85b, transmittal of 12 sheets of formal drawings, comments on Examiner's reasons for allowance, and a check for \$1015 for the required issue fee and publication fee, including patent copies.

Please apply any additional charges or credits to our Deposit Account No. 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: November 15, 2004

*James E. Mrose*  
James E. Mrose  
Reg. No. 33,264

Fish & Richardson P.C.  
1425 K Street, N.W.  
11th Floor  
Washington, DC 20005-3500  
Telephone: (202) 783-5070  
Facsimile: (202) 783-2331





IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Valerie Palfy et al.  
Serial No. : 10/642,267  
Filed : August 18, 2003

Art Unit : 3744  
Examiner : Chen-Wen Jiang  
Confirmation No.: 2395

Notice of Allowance Date: October 20, 2004

Title : DEVICES AND METHODS FOR SENSING CONDENSATION CONDITIONS  
AND FOR PREVENTING AND REMOVING CONDENSATION FROM  
SURFACES

## MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

### COMMENTS ON EXAMINER'S REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Not all of the limitations recited in the first paragraph of the Examiner's Reasons for Allowance are recited in all independent claims. For example, claims 79 and 86 do not recite the second thermal sensor, and claims 87 and 89 do not require the second thermal sensor to be positioned at the sufficient distance from the surface. The remarks section of the Amendment dated July 12, 2004 explains the basis for allowability of the various independent claims.

Applicant recognizes that in accordance with M.P.E.P. § 1302.14, the Examiner's reasons for allowance need not set forth all of the details as to why the claims are allowed. In the above-referenced application, Applicant does not concede that the Examiner's stated reasons for allowance are the only reasons for which the claims are allowable. In particular, Applicant does not concede that all of the identified limitations are necessary to distinguish the prior art of record or to satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112. Furthermore, the claims may be patentable for other reasons. In addition, dependent claims may be allowable on their own merits, and on the basis of a sub-combination of the recited features of the dependent claims and their respective base claims.

Please apply any additional charges or credits to our Deposit Account No. 06-1050.

Applicant : Valerie Palfy et al.  
Serial No. : 10/642,267  
Filed : August 18, 2003  
Page : 2 of 2

Attorney's Docket No.: 09781-007002

Respectfully submitted,

Date: November 15, 2004

James E. Mrose  
James E. Mrose  
Reg. No. 33,264

Fish & Richardson P.C.  
1425 K Street, N.W.  
11th Floor  
Washington, DC 20005-3500  
Telephone: (202) 783-5070  
Facsimile: (202) 783-2331

40252958.doc